

Pain pathways and mechanisms of neuropathic pain

Vías y mecanismos de dolor neuropático

Oscar A. de Leon-Casasola

RESUMEN

Diversos niveles del sistema nervioso se hallan implicados en el procesamiento de los estímulos nocivos que llevan a la experiencia del dolor. Los nociceptores responden fácilmente a estímulos nocivos térmicos, mecánicos o químicos pero no lo hacen a los estímulos no-nocivos.

La transducción: es el proceso por el cual los estímulos nocivos se convierten en señales eléctricas desde los nociceptores, transmisión es el paso de las señales nocivas de la periferia al tálamo y a la corteza por las delgadas fibras mielinizadas A-delta que conducen en la gama de 2 m/s a 20 m/s. Estos receptores responden al estímulo mecánico de intensidad alta y se consideran mecanoreceptores de alto umbral.

Las fibras C no-mielinizadas que conducen en la gama de 0,5 m/s a 2 m/s y transmiten información nociva proveniente de una variedad de modalidades mecánicas, térmicas y químicas y que se denominan nociceptores polimodales.

La modulación, paso crucial en este proceso, comprende los cambios del sistema nervioso en respuesta a un estímulo nocivo y permite que las señales recibidas en el cuerpo dorsal de la médula espinal sean inhibidas o modificadas selectivamente. Las endorfinas activan los sistemas descendentes de modulación activando los “receptores opiáceos”. Cuando los médicos dan morfina u opiáceos, se activan los receptores de opioides en el mesencéfalo y se “prenden” los sistemas descendentes de modulación, por desinhibición, impidiendo la transmisión que sube la señal del dolor.

PALABRAS CLAVE: nociceptores, transducción de señal, tálamo, médula espinal, endorfinas, receptores opiáceos.

(Oscar A. Leon-Casasola. *Mecanismos y vías de dolor neuropático. Acta Neurol Colomb 2007;23:107-111*).

SUMMARY

Several neural steps are involved in the processing of noxious signals that can lead to the experience of pain. Nociceptors readily respond to different noxious modalities such as thermal, mechanical or chemical stimuli, but nociceptors do not respond to non-noxious stimuli. Transduction, is the process by which noxious stimuli are converted to electrical signals in the nociceptors and transmission, the second stage process of noxious signals, in which information from the periphery is relayed to the thalamus and then to the cortex.

A-delta fibers are thinly myelinated fibers which conduct in the range of 2 m/s to 20 m/s. These fibers respond to high intensity mechanical stimulation and are therefore termed high threshold mechanoreceptors, C-fibers are non-myelinated fibers that conduct in the range of 0.5 m/s to 2 m/s and transmit noxious information from a variety of modalities including mechanical, thermal, and chemical stimuli for this reason, and they are termed polymodal nociceptors. Modulation is a third and critically important aspect of the processing of noxious stimuli that occurs. This process represents the changes which occur in the nervous system in response to a noxious stimulus and allows the noxious signal received at the dorsal horn of the spinal cord to be selectively inhibited, so that the transmission of the signal to higher centers is modified. Endorphins activate the descending modulatory systems through specific receptors called “opioid receptors”.

When physicians gives morphine, and others opiates, they are activating the opioid receptors in the midbrain and “turning on” the descending systems (through disinhibition), activating opioid receptors on the second-order pain transmission cells to prevent the ascending transmission of the pain signal, activating opioid receptors at the central terminals of C-fibers in the spinal cord, and activating opioid receptors at the peripheral terminals of the nociceptive C-fibers. It is the activation of these four systems that allows opiates such as morphine to produce clinically useful pain relief.

KEY WORDS: nociceptors, signal transduction, thalamus, spinal cord, endorphins, opioid receptors.

(Oscar A. Leon-Casasola. *Pain pathways and mechanisms of neuropathic pain. Acta Neurol Colomb 2007;23:107-111*).

Recibido: 30/04/07. Revisado: 10/07/07. Aceptado: 30/07/07.

Oscar A. de Leon-Casasola, MD. Professor and Vice-Chair for Clinical Affairs. Department of Anesthesiology, University at Buffalo, School of Medicine Chief, Pain Medicine and Professor of Oncology Roswell Park Cancer Institute. Buffalo, New York.

Correspondencia: oscar.deLeon@RoswellPark.org

NEURAL PROCESSING OF PAIN SIGNALS

Several neural steps are involved in the processing of noxious signals that can lead to the experience of pain.

Transduction is the process by which noxious stimuli are converted to electrical signals in the nociceptors. Unlike other sensory receptors, nociceptors are not specialized from a structural point of view, but rather exist as free nerve endings. Nociceptors readily respond to different noxious modalities such as thermal, mechanical or chemical stimuli, but nociceptors do not respond to non-noxious stimuli. Also in contrast to other types of sensory receptors, nociceptors do not adapt, that is, continued stimulation results in continuous or repetitive firing of the nociceptor and, in some cases, continued stimulation actually results in a decrease in the threshold at which the nociceptors respond (ie, sensitization of nociceptors). Neurotransmitters that are produced within the cell body, for example, in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) are the same at both the central and peripheral ends of the nerve fiber and are released at both ends, participating in producing the pain signal centrally, as well as in promoting events that lead to additional pain peripherally. The release of neurotransmitters from the peripheral terminals of the afferent fibers is actually an “efferent” function of these afferent neurons. Peripheral release of neurotransmitter substances lead to the classic “axon reflex”, a reflex that does not require the spinal cord—this reflex leads to peripheral changes that are well recognized to contribute to pain (1).

Transmission is the second stage of processing of noxious signals, in which information from the periphery is relayed to the thalamus and then to the cortex. Noxious information is relayed mainly via two different types of primary afferent nociceptive neurons, which conduct at different velocities.

A-delta fibers are thinly myelinated fibers which conduct in the range of 2 m/s to 20 m/s. All fibers respond to high intensity mechanical stimulation and are therefore termed high threshold mechanoreceptors. Some, but not all, fibers also respond to thermal stimuli, the latter are termed mechano, thermal receptors.

C-fibers are non-myelinated fibers that conduct in the range of 0.5 m/s to 2 m/s and transmit noxious information from a variety of modalities including mechanical, thermal, and chemical stimuli, for this reason, they are termed C-polymodal nociceptors.

As the nociceptive afferent fibers approach the spinal cord, the “dorsal root entry zone”, axons of different sizes begin to segregate so that the large myelinated Aβ fibers (carrying non-nociceptive information such as proprioception, light touch, etc.) separate from the small thinly myelinated and nonmyelinated C fibers; the nociceptive fibers (and C) separate into the ventral portion of the nerve. By the time the root actually penetrates the spinal cord, the larger diameter non-nociceptive fibers continue medially to form the dorsal columns and generally do not participate in pain transmission. These large myelinated fibers also send collateral projections into the deeper aspects of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. The A and C nociceptive fibers branch into a fiber tract called “Lissauer’s tract” and travel rostrally or caudally in this tract for a few segments (up to four) before entering the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Primary afferent fibers then synapse on a “second-order” pain transmission cell. This is the cell which will send its process across the midline into the anterolateral aspect of the spinal cord white matter, join with many other similar fibers and form the ascending “spinothalamic tract” that leads to the thalamus. It is in the thalamus that the second-order cell synapses with the third-order cell that projects to the cortex (2).

In addition to the synapse of the primary afferent neuron in the spinal dorsal horn with the second-order cell (also called the projection neurons) just described, the afferents also synapse with excitatory interneurons and inhibitory interneurons. Projection neurons in the dorsal horn are those that “project” to higher levels of the nervous system. Projection neurons that respond specifically to nociceptive stimuli are called “nociceptive specific” (NS) cells, while other projection neurons that respond to both noxious and to innocuous stimuli are called “wide dynamic range” (WDR) neurons. The WDR neurons are localized deeper in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and respond to afferent input from many different fibers in the periphery and

so have large “receptive fields”. WDR neurons receive inputs, for example, from the large myelinated fibers that mainly function to transmit light touch and proprioception in the dorsal white columns—remember, these fibers send collateral projections into the deeper aspects of the spinal dorsal horn where they synapse with the WDR neurons. The NS neurons are localized more superficially in the spinal dorsal horn and have a restricted range of input from peripheral sites (ie, a small receptive field). The second-order cells in the spinal dorsal horn also have the capacity to change their response patterns in the circumstance of sustained discharge of afferent fibers (as would occur in the setting of an injury). Under these circumstances, these cells respond at lower thresholds and from inputs over a broader area in the periphery (ie, have expanded “receptive fields”)—in other words, the second-order cells become “sensitized”. This is termed “central sensitization” and also contributes to the phenomena of hyperalgesia and allodynia.

The gray matter of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord is organized into sheets that are elongated in the rostrocaudal axis. This type of organization has served as the basis of dividing the dorsal horn gray matter into six different “lamina” (numbered in a dorsal to ventral scheme 10 “lamina” are recognized in the dorsal and ventral horn). These lamina have been classified on the basis of the appearance of neurons, their size, orientation, and density.

The nociceptive afferent fibers synapse in several layers, but especially in lamina I (the marginal zone) and lamina II (the substantia gelatinosa) collectively, lamina I and II are called the superficial layer of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. The nociceptive afferent fibers also synapse in lamina V and other deeper layers. The site of termination of these nociceptive afferents is important in that these areas of the spinal cord are those that are most important in the rostral transmission of the pain signal. The NS cells are found in the superficial lamina of the spinal dorsal horn, while the WDR neurons are localized in deeper lamina (ie, lamina V) of the spinal dorsal horn.

Once the nociceptive afferents have terminated in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, they

transmit the signal from the periphery by releasing specific neurotransmitters that are associated with pain. While we cannot say with complete certainty which neurotransmitters are the “pain transmitters” we can identify some important and likely candidates. These candidate neurotransmitters must be identified within the cell body (in the DRG) of the nociceptive afferent neuron. One of the most important candidates is a small amino acid called glutamate that can interact with both NMDA-type and non-NMDA excitatory amino acid receptors. Another important transmitter that is probably associated with the transmission of pain is an 11 amino acid peptide called “substance P”. This peptide is released both centrally and peripherally following stimulation of the nociceptive afferent fiber. Furthermore, local application of substance P within the spinal cord results in a depolarization of postsynaptic neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, similar to that seen with electrical stimulation of the nociceptive afferent fiber. Substance P interacts with tachykinin receptors and serves to initiate depolarization of the second order neuron. This change in membrane potential is important in that “voltage-dependent” channels begin to open, including the NMDA channel that is activated by glutamate. So, both of these neurotransmitters will interact with receptors on the postsynaptic membrane, and depend on the activity of the other transmitter, to initiate the process of transmission of the pain signal. Knowledge of these transmitters is crucial in understanding mechanisms by which pain-relieving drugs, such as morphine, can produce their effects (1-2).

Once the signal has been transmitted to the second-order neurons, these cells send their projections across the midline and ascend to the thalamus via the anterolateral system. The anterolateral system consists mainly of the “spinothalamic tract”, which has been broken down in general terms into the neospinothalamic and the paleospinothalamic (ie, spinoreticulothalamic) tracts. The spinothalamic tract is the “freeway” to the thalamus, while the spinoreticulothalamic tract sends its signals along the “local streets”.

The location of the spinal pathway for rostral transmission is therefore in the anterolateral quadrant of the spinal cord. Surgical lesions of the anterolateral spinal cord have been used

to control pain in some cases. Most patients who have an anterolateral cordotomy experience a profound loss of pain (and temperature) sensation on the side opposite the lesion. The upper limit of the loss of sensation is about four segments below the level of the lesion (remember that afferent fibers travel for a few segments rostrally in Lissauer's tract prior to synapsing in the dorsal horn). It is important to note that the effects of cordotomy are variable, incomplete and in many cases, transient. Sensitivity, or partial sensitivity, may be retained in some portions of the periphery. The reasons for the transient nature, in some cases, of cordotomy are unclear, but indicate that there may be several routes by which the nociceptive message can reach higher centers (1-2).

Spinothalamic tract neurons terminate in specific nuclei of the thalamus, each of which projects to different cortical areas. In general, neospinothalamic tract neurons project to the lateral thalamus and this pathway projects further, through the third-order neuron, to the somatosensory cortex, while the paleospinothalamic tract pathway projects to the medial thalamus and to the association cortex. This emphasizes that there are parallel pathways to the cortex and each of these may make a contribution to the complex human perception of pain.

Modulation is a third and critically important aspect of the processing of noxious stimuli that occurs. This process represents the changes which occur in the nervous system in response to a noxious stimulus and allows the noxious signal received at the dorsal horn of the spinal cord to be selectively inhibited, so that the transmission of the signal to higher centers is modified. There is an endogenous pain modulation system, consisting of well-defined descending neural tracts that can inhibit rostral transmission of the pain signal (2).

Activation of this system is thought to involve the release at supraspinal locations of neurotransmitters, including beta-endorphin (eg, β -endorphin) and enkephalins. These peptides represent two families of endogenous peptides that are believed to produce pain relief, mainly under situations of stress. This is critically important to you as a physician because when pain relieve with narcotics, these drugs mimic

the actions of endogenous neurotransmitters. Morphine, probably the most clinically important pain-relieving drug, is derived from the poppy plant, but acts by binding to the same opioid receptors that bind the endogenous opioids for this reason, the endogenous opioids are called "endorphins" or "endogenous morphine". Of course, it is morphine that mimics the action of the endorphins, since these are the physiological transmitters involved in the modulatory process.

Descending modulatory systems: activation of the descending system by the endorphins occurs through specific receptors called "opioid receptors". These systems are activated in and around the periaqueductal gray (PAG) region of the midbrain, and such neurons then project to sites in the medulla (eg, nucleus reticularis gigantocellularis, nucleus raphe magnus) and the locus coeruleus (the major source of norepinephrine cells in the brain) through uncertain circuitry where other neurons are activated (probably through disinhibition that is, inhibition of a tonically active inhibitory interneuron). These descending fibers then project to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord along a tract called the dorsolateral funiculus (located in the dorsolateral portion of the spinal cord) to synapse with either the incoming primary afferent neuron, the second-order pain transmission neuron, or interneurons. Again, the circuitry that occurs at the spinal level is uncertain. In general, however, these descending pain modulatory neurons release nonopioid neurotransmitters in the spinal cord, especially serotonin (5HT) and norepinephrine (NE) or activate small opioid containing interneurons in the spinal dorsal horn to release opioid peptides (again through disinhibition). The released NE and 5HT (acting through some types of 5HT receptors) can act to directly inhibit the release of transmitters from the incoming nociceptive afferent signal, and to inhibit the second-order pain transmission cell. Both of these will produce an inhibition of transmission of the pain signal. As mentioned above, NE and 5HT released from these descending pathways can also activate (indirectly) the release of endogenous opioids from interneurons, again through a process of disinhibition. Activation of the descending pain modulatory system is a good example of why subjects report not feeling pain at all under

conditions of stress, or perhaps other situations, where even though the pain is felt, the degree appears to be greatly modulated (1-2).

Sites of action of endogenous and exogenous opioids: the small local interneurons in the spinal dorsal horn containing endogenous opioid transmitters deserve special emphasis. These small inhibitory interneurons can release opioid peptides that act on opioid receptors located on the central terminals of the primary afferent C fibers to directly inhibit the incoming pain signal and on cell bodies of the second-order transmission neurons, to inhibit the transmission of the pain signal to higher centers. Opioid receptors are also localized on the peripheral terminals of the nociceptive C fibers, the latter provides an additional target for opioids in the management of pain. The actions of exogenous opioids (ie, administered by medical prescription) will mimic the site of action of endogenous opioids. Systemically administered opioid (eg, morphine) will distribute to the spinal cord where it will act to block inputs from C-fibers and to the periphery where it will block activation of C-fibers. Second-order pain transmission cells will also be blocked by spinally administered opioids. Finally, systemically given opioids will distribute to brainstem sites such as the PAG to activate the descending pain modulatory system. The descending system (described above) and the local system in the spinal dorsal horn and in the periphery are all active at the same time (3-4).

Summary of sites of opioid action: we can identify four sites where opioids can act to relieve pain. When physicians gives morphine, or other opiates, to patients they are activating the opioid receptors in the midbrain and “turning on” the descending systems (through disinhibition), activating opioid receptors on the second-order pain transmission cells to prevent the ascending transmission of the pain signal, activating opioid receptors at the central terminals of C-fibers in the spinal cord, and activating opioid receptors at the peripheral terminals of the nociceptive C-fibers. It is the activation of these four systems

that allows opiates such as morphine to produce clinically useful pain relief. Endogenous opioid released from opioid-expressing cells clearly act at sites the tree first sites and possibly the other too (through invasion of injury sites by opioid receptor expressing immune cells).

Perception is the final part of the process where there is subjective interpretation by the cortex of the stimulus as pain. This process can be artificially described as involving two types of cortical processing. The sensory component of cortical processing is that in which the stimulus can be classified as noxious, its stimulus intensity decoded, and its location identified. However, before such signals represent the true “experience of pain”, something that is only a human experience, the cortex overlays an additional aspect to the neural processing, described as the affective component of pain. Here, the cortex relates the situation and the history of such noxious stimuli to the interpretation of the strict sensory component. Again, the importance of the noxious stimulus in contributing to the experience of pain is “interpreted” in light of the situation and is much worse in pathological states, such as those associated with disease where the patient sees the pain as a signal of progression of the disease. This is one of the reasons why it is so difficult to measure pain in humans.

REFERENCES

1. Ossipov MH, Lai J, Malan TP Jr, Vanderah TW, Porreca F. Tonic descending facilitation as a mechanism of neuropathic pain. In: *Neuropathic Pain: Pathophysiology and Treatment, Progress in Pain Research and Management*. Seattle, Wash: IASP Press, 2001.
2. Wall PD, Melzack R. *Textbook of Pain*. 4th Ed. Orlando, Fla: Harcourt Publishers; 1999.
3. Vanderah TW, Ossipov MH, Lai J, Porreca F. Cholecystokinin (CCK) as an endogenous mediator of opioid induced paradoxical pain and antinociceptive tolerance. In: Smith HS, ed. *Drugs for Pain*. Philadelphia, Pa: Hanley & Belfus, Inc; 2002:255-262.
4. Vanderah TW, Ossipov MH, Lai J, Malan TP Jr, Porreca F. Mechanisms of opioid-induced pain and antinociceptive tolerance: descending facilitation and spinal dynorphin. *Pain*. 2001;92:5-9.